

**KANSAS BOARD OF REGENTS
ACADEMIC AFFAIRS STANDING COMMITTEE**

**CONFERENCE CALL AGENDA
November 26, 2018
11:30 am**

CONFERENCE CALL INFORMATION

DIAL: 785-422-6104

CONFERENCE CODE: 96342619

- I. Call To Order** *Regent Brandau-Murguia*
 - A. Approve Minutes from the September 19th and October 22nd committee meetings *p. 2*

- II. Agenda Planning for December 12th Board Meeting**
 - A. *Consent Agenda*
 - 1. Request Approval for a Master of Human Resource Management at Wichita State University *Jean Redeker* *p. 5*
 - B. *Discussion Agenda*
 - 1. **BAASC 19-09** Request Approval for Continuance of Dr. Juergen Richt, KSU, as Regents Distinguished Professor *Jean Redeker* *p. 10*

- III. Other Board Matters**
 - A. **BAASC 19-02** Approval of Performance Reports for Academic Year 2017 *Jean Redeker* *p. 12*

- IV. Next BAASC Meeting**
December 12, 2018, at 10:30 am

- V. Adjourn**

**Board Academic Affairs Standing Committee
Meeting Schedule**

Meeting Dates	Location	Time	Agenda Materials Due
December 12, 2018	Topeka	10:30 am	November 20, 2018
January 7, 2019	Conference Call	11:30 am	December 17, 2018
January 16, 2019	Topeka	10:30 am	December 28, 2018
February 4, 2019	Conference Call	11:30 am	January 21, 2019
March 4, 2019	Conference Call	11:30 am	February 18, 2019
March 20, 2019	Topeka	10:30 am	March 1, 2019
April 1, 2019	Conference Call	11:30 am	March 18, 2019
April 29, 2019	Conference Call	11:30 am	April 15, 2019
May 15, 2019 (tentative)	Topeka	10:30 am	April 26, 2019
June 3, 2019	Conference Call	11:30 am	May 20, 2019
June 19, 2019 (tentative)	Topeka	10:30 am	May 31, 2019

**Kansas Board of Regents
Board Academic Affairs Standing Committee**

**MINUTES
Wednesday September 19, 2018**

The September 19, 2018, meeting of the Board Academic Affairs Standing Committee of the Kansas Board of Regents was called to order by Regent Van Etten at 10:32 a.m. The meeting was held in the Board Office located in the Curtis State Office Building, 1000 S.W. Jackson, Suite 520, Topeka, KS.

In Attendance:

Members:	Regent Brandau-Murguia	Regent Van Etten	Regent Thomas
Staff:	Jean Redeker Karla Wiscombe	Max Fridell Connie Beene	Sam Christy-Dangermond Cynthia Farrier
Others:	Brad Bennett, Colby CC Steve Loewen, FHTC Erin Shaw, Highland CC Michael McCloud, JCCC Arvin Agah, KU Cliff Morris, PSU Linnea GlenMaye, WSU	Steven Lovett, ESU Adam Borth, Fort Scott CC Cindy Hoss, Hutchinson CC Charles Taber, KSU Matt Pounds, NWKTC Michael Fitzpatrick, Pratt CC	Greg Schneider, ESU Ryan Ruda, Garden City CC Kara Wheeler, Independence CC Carl Lejuez, KU Stephani Johns-Hines, SATC Betty Smith Campbell, WSU

Regent Van Etten welcomed everyone. Regent Brandau-Murguia joined the meeting by phone.

Committee Matters

- A. Regent Van Etten moved that the minutes of the September 4, 2018, conference call be approved. Following the second of Regent Thomas, the motion carried.
- B. Connie Beene and Samantha Christy-Dangermond updated BAASC on Credit for Prior Learning. Credit for Prior Learning (CPL) is the awarding of college credit for equivalent knowledge and skills gained outside the traditional post-secondary classroom and supports the Board’s goal to increase higher education attainment among Kansans.

The Report included the first complete year of CPL data and summarizes efforts to support the awarding of CPL for military learning and training, credit by examination, industry-recognized credentials, and other sources of CPL. Discussion was held, and BAASC requested additional information on the types of CPL awarded by institution.

- C. Charles Taber, KSU, presented BAASC with a request to name two academic units within the College of Engineering at Kansas State University. He requested the academic units be named the Tim Taylor Department of Chemical Engineering and the GE Johnson Department of Architectural Engineering and Construction Science.

Regent Van Etten moved that the naming of the two academic units listed above for Kansas State University be approved for placement on today’s Board agenda. Following the second of Regent Thomas, the motion carried.

- D. BAASC 18-01 Approve Requests for Undergraduate Degrees in Excess of 120 Credit Hours. Jean Redeker provided background information to BAASC. When the process started in May 2017, the universities offered 504 undergraduate degrees of which:
- 33% of those degrees were at 120 credit hours;
 - 50% required 124 credit hours; and
 - 17% exceeded 124 credit hours.

As of today:

- 92% of undergraduate degrees are at 120 credit hours;
- 0% require 124; and
- 8% exceed 124.

Charles Taber, KSU, Carl Lejuez, KU, and Linnea GlenMaye, WSU, provided commentary on the ten degree programs that exceed 120 credit hours being reviewed today.

After discussion, Regent Brandau-Murgia moved the requests for the ten undergraduate degrees in excess of 120 credit hours be approved. Following the second of Regent Van Etten, the motion carried.

E. Next BAASC meeting will be October 22 at 11:30 am.

ADJOURNMENT

There being no further business, Chair Regent Brandau-Murguia adjourned the meeting at 11:24 am.

**Kansas Board of Regents
Board Academic Affairs Standing Committee**

MINUTES

Tuesday October 22, 2018

The Board Academic Affairs Standing Committee of the Kansas Board of Regents met by conference call at 11:35 a.m. on Monday, October 22, 2018.

In Attendance:

Members:	Regent Helen Van Etten	Regent Daniel Thomas	
Staff:	Jean Redeker Natalie Yoza	Karla Wiscombe	Sam Christy-Dangermond
Institutions Represented:	FHSU KU Cloud County CC KCKCC	KSU MATC Cowley CC	PSU WSU Johnson County CC

The meeting was called to order at 11:35 a.m.

Approval of Minutes

Approval of the Minutes was tabled until the next BAASC meeting.

Agenda Planning for November 7th Board Meeting

Regent Van Etten reviewed the Consent and Discussion Agenda items. Discussion was held, and the agenda items will be placed on the November 7th Board agenda pending BAASC review. Jean Redeker will contact BAASC for comments.

BAASC 19-02 Approval of Performance Reports

Jean Redeker will contact BAASC for feedback, and this agenda topic will be placed on the November 26th BAASC agenda for a vote.

The meeting adjourned at 11:54 a.m.

Request Approval for a Master of Human Resource Management at Wichita State University

Summary

Universities may apply for approval of new academic programs following the guidelines in the Kansas Board of Regents Policy Manual. Wichita State University has submitted an application for approval and the proposing academic unit has responded to the requirements of the program approval process. Board staff concurs with the Council of Presidents, and the Council of Chief Academic Officers in recommending approval. 11/26/18

Criteria	Program Summary
1. Program Identification	<p>Title of proposed program: Human Resource Management Degree to be offered: Masters HRM Anticipated date of implementation: Spring 2019 Responsible department(s) or unit(s): Barton School of Business Total Semester Credit Hours: 30 CIP Code: 52.1001 Delivery: Online</p>
2. Program Description	<p>The Barton School of Business at Wichita State University is proposing a new, fully online Master of Human Resource Management (HRM) degree. This new HRM program will produce human resource specialists for the State of Kansas.</p> <p>Human Resource (HR) professionals are responsible for the design and implementation of practices and policies relevant to employees. “Human resources managers plan, direct, and coordinate the administrative functions of an organization. They oversee the recruiting, interviewing, and hiring of new staff; consult with top executives on strategic planning; and serve as a link between an organization’s management and its employees” (¶1).¹ Because the workplace demands are fast changing, so are the needs for new, effective HR practices to address the needs of the new workforce.</p> <p>In the program, students will take a series of classes focusing on key HRM areas, including employee relations, staffing, training, legal environment, workplace policies, and rewards. The program is designed for practicing HR professionals who wish to advance in the profession as well as for managers with HR responsibilities.</p>
3. Demand/Need for the Program	<p>The Bureau of Labor Statistics’ Occupational Outlook Handbook² predicts that the number of jobs for HR Managers is expected to grow by 9% (faster than average) between 2014 and 2024. Consistent with this growth, an Education Advisory Board report³ recently found that there is an increasing nationwide demand for master’s degree programs in Human Resource Management, particularly online programs.</p> <p>Based on this information, the WSU Management Department surveyed the Kansas chapters of the Society for Human Resource Management. Of the 110 survey respondents, 54 indicated they would be interested in enrolling in an online MHRM program offered by WSU, and 38 indicated they would be interested in enrolling by 2022.</p>

¹ Bureau of Labor Statistics (April 27, 2018). Occupational handbook: Human resource managers. Retrieved from: <https://www.bls.gov/ooh/management/human-resources-managers.htm>

² Ibid.

³ Diaz, N.A. & Edmonds, L. (2015). Market demand for human resource development graduate programs. Education Advisory Board COE Forum. Retrieved from: <https://www.eab.com/-/media/EAB/Research-and-Insights/COE/Custom/2015/02/Market-Demand-for-Human-Resource-Development-Graduate-Programs.pdf>

<p>4. Locational Advantage</p>	<p>Wichita, the most populated city in Kansas, and the surrounding metropolitan area contain many businesses of various sizes, all potential employers for the Master of Human Resource Management (MHRM) graduates. Because of these businesses, Wichita will provide the MHRM program with a locational advantage compared to a program located in a region with fewer businesses.</p> <p>The Barton School of Business is accredited by the Association to Advance Collegiate Schools of Business (AACSB), the premier business college accrediting agency. Because of its AACSB accreditation, the Barton School will provide the MHRM program with an advantage over a program located in a non-business college, or in a business college that is not AACSB-accredited. This program will be reviewed by AACSB in 2023 as part of the overall assessment of the Barton School of Business’s maintenance of accreditation review, a distinct advantage to ensure program rigor and quality.</p> <p>The WSU Management Department contains several prolific research faculty, award-winning instructors (in both online and face-to-face teaching formats), and administrators with experience at managing multiple degree programs (including an online, undergraduate HRM program).</p>
<p>5. Comparative Advantage</p>	<p>The Regents System program that is closest to the proposed MHRM program is Fort Hays State University’s online Master of Professional Studies (MPS) degree program with an HRM concentration. Additionally, Pittsburg State University’s online Master of Science degree in Human Resource Development is offered by their Department of Technology and Workforce Learning. The proposed WSU MHRM program will be housed in a business college, accredited by the Association to Advance Collegiate Schools of Business, and aligned with the Society for Human Resource Management competencies.</p> <p>Other similar Regents Systems programs include online and traditional Master of Business Administration (MBA) programs. All six Regents’ universities offer an MBA program; KU and FHSU have concentrations in HRM. WSU’s MBA program currently contains no required or elective HRM courses.</p> <p>As for similar online programs in the country, according to the Society for Human Resource Management website, there are 58 <u>online</u> master-level programs similar to the proposed MHRM program. Excluding the FHSU and PSU programs listed above, the closest program is at Wayland Baptist University in Plainview, Texas. There are no online or traditional (i.e., face-to-face) MHRM programs in the states that border Kansas. Locally, Friends University’s MBA program has an online concentration option in HRM.</p>
<p>6. Curriculum</p>	<p>The program consists of 30 semester credit hours. Twenty-four of the hours are composed of eight required courses; the remaining six hours are composed of elective courses with the student’s career interests in mind. If a student is more interested in research, he or she may choose up to six semester credit hours of research in lieu of the same number of semester credit hours of elective courses.</p> <p>Required Human Resource Management Courses – 24 semester credit hours (all required courses are 3 semester credit hours). Five courses have yet to have course codes assigned to them and are listed as TBD (to be determined) below.</p> <p>MGMT 885Advanced Strategic Management 3 hours HRM 665Employment Law 3 hours (TBD) Analytics 3 hours MGMT 862Organizational Behavior 3 hours (TBD)Rewards 3 hours (TBD)Selection 3 hours (TBD)Strategic HRM 3 hours (TBD)Talent Development 3 hours</p>

7. Faculty Profile	<p>Existing Barton School faculty members will teach, coordinate the curriculum, advise students, schedule classes, and administer the program. The program faculty consist of the MHRM Program Director, core faculty, and supplemental faculty. The director will be a senior faculty member from the Human Resource Management Department. All faculty members possess doctoral degrees in their academic discipline.</p> <p>Faculty members are representative of the following academic disciplines: Human Resource Management, Business Management, Economics, Business Law, Marketing, Decision Sciences, Management Information Systems, and International Business.</p>
8. Student Profile	<p>Students interested in enrolling in this program may be full-time employees whose job involves some HRM-related tasks, employees who are interested in becoming HR managers, and/or any person interested in improving their HR knowledge and skill set, including recent baccalaureate graduates.</p> <p>Students interested in this major typically exhibit the following characteristics^{4,5}: strong communication skills, solid ethics, critical thinking skills, organizational skills, conflict-management skills, self-motivation, and structured problem-solving.</p>
9. Academic Support	<p>The WSU Office of Online Learning and the WSU Office of Instructional Design & Access will provide teaching and technical support for the program. The Barton School Dean, Associate Deans, and Management Department Chair will provide administrative support for the program; a senior HRM faculty member will serve as the program director.</p> <p>Current WSU Barton School of Business infrastructure is sufficient to support the new Human Resource Management program.</p>
10. Facilities and Equipment	<p>The Barton School of Business anticipates that the facilities are adequate to support the proposed program. The program will leverage WSU's existing computer hardware, software, services, and network. No additional classrooms will be required, and no new faculty or administrative offices will be needed.</p>
11. Program Review, Assessment, Accreditation	<p>Like all WSU degree programs, this program will be reviewed and evaluated according to Kansas Board of Regents' program review requirements. The Barton School Dean and Faculty are committed to ensuring that the program will be of rigor and high quality.</p> <p>In support of this commitment, a student learning assessment process will be created and implemented. The program director and faculty, the Management Department Chair, and Barton School Administrators will conduct regular assessments.</p> <p>Furthermore, the program has been designed to align with the competencies of the Society for Human Resource Management and with the AACSB graduate program guidelines. The program will be reviewed by AACSB in 2023 as part of the overall assessment of the Barton School of Business's maintenance of accreditation review.</p> <p>Additionally, the Society for Human Resource Management (SHRM) maintains a list of HRM programs that are aligned with the SHRM competencies. WSU is committed to having the MHRM program stay in alignment with the SHRM competencies as the competencies change. The Program Director will ensure that the program remains aligned.</p>
12. Costs/Financing	<p>No new General Use resources are needed for this Master of Human Resource Management degree.</p> <p>Funds generated from currently approved online and business school course fees will support this program. The Barton School of Business receives funds</p>

⁴ Concordia St. Paul (August 18, 2016). *6 qualities of an hr manager*. Retrieved from: <https://online.csp.edu/blog/business/6-key-qualities-of-an-hr-manager>

⁵ Knisley, Rhonda (2018). *E-Skill: What 6 qualities make a good human resources professional?* Retrieved from: <http://blog.eskill.com/qualities-human-resources-professional/>

from a \$35 per semester credit hour (sch) undergraduate program fee, and a \$50 per sch graduate program fee. Additional funds come from a \$94.50 per sch online course fee.

These funds will be used to compensate the program director (\$3,600/year, with fringe), new clinical assistant professor (\$108,000/year with fringe), and marketing expenses (\$5,000/year).

No Graduate Assistants are required for this program.

Additional and existing current Barton School faculty staffing is sufficient to develop, offer, and administer the MHRM program. The current staffing in the WSU Online Learning and Instructional Design & Access Offices are sufficient to provide teaching and technical support.

**New Program Proposal: Curriculum Summary
Wichita State University**

Master of Human Resource Management (MHRM)

Anticipated date of implementation: Spring 2019

Responsible department(s) or unit(s): Barton School of Business

Total number of semester credit hours: 30

Program Curriculum

The program consists of 30 credit hours. Twenty-four of the hours are composed of eight required courses; the remaining six hours are composed of elective courses. If a student is more interested in research, he or she may choose up to six credit hours of research in lieu of the same number of elective semester credit hours. The titles of the required and elective courses are listed below. Five courses have yet to have course codes assigned to them and are listed as TBD (to be determined) below.

Required Courses (24 hours)

1. MGMT 885	Advanced Strategic Management	3 hours
2. HRM 665	Employment Law	3 hours
3. (TBD)	Analytics	3 hours
4. MGMT 862	Organizational Behavior	3 hours
5. (TBD)	Rewards	3 hours
6. (TBD)	Selection	3 hours
7. (TBD)	Strategic HRM	3 hours
8. (TBD)	Talent Development	3 hours

Electives (6 hours)

1. IB 836	International Bus & Competition	3 hours
2. BLAW 810	Law and Ethics for Business	3 hours
3. ECON 804	Managerial Economics	3 hours
4. MGMT 662	Managing in Diverse Organizations	3 hours
5. MKT 803	Marketing Analysis	3 hours
6. DS 850	Operations Management	3 hours
7. MIS 874	Management Information Systems	3 Hours
8. HRM 891	Directed Studies	up to 6 hours

Approve Continuance of Dr. Juergen Richt, KSU, as Regents Distinguished Professor

Summary and Recommendations

Board policy establishes the criteria for comprehensive performance evaluation of Distinguished Professor. The evaluation of the professor takes place every five years and the evaluation includes evidence of the professor's professional activities and contributions to the State's economic development. Kansas State University has requested Dr. Juergen Richt continue to serve as a Regents Distinguished Professor; staff recommends approval.

Background

In FY 1964, the Kansas Legislature appropriated funds to the Kansas Board of Regents to be used for the Regents Distinguished Professorship. The purpose of the program is to attract the best and brightest established faculty scholars to Kansas who would ultimately benefit the economic and industrial development of the state.

Board policy establishes the criteria for nomination as a Distinguished Professor as well as the reporting requirements. A comprehensive performance evaluation of the professor takes place every five years, and the evaluation includes evidence of the professor's professional activities and contributions to the State's economic development. Commentary from peer evaluators on the professor's academic work may be included, though those evaluators cannot be connected with the Kansas Regents system.

The University of Kansas and Kansas State University each have one distinguished professorship position. The Legislature annually appropriates funds per professorship; in AY 2018, \$21,000 per professor was appropriated.

Request

Dr. Juergen Richt began serving as a Regents Distinguished Professor in August 2008, upon his appointment to the Kansas State University faculty. Dr. Richt is the Principal Investigator and Director of the Department of Homeland Security's Center of Excellence for Emerging and Zoonotic Animal Diseases (CEEZAD), an organization with a scientific network led by KSU that includes 15 U.S. universities, 4 international universities, and several veterinary biologic companies. His research group comprises 21 positions with active recruitment for four additional positions. Only three positions are college funded; the remaining are funded through extramural funds. Not only does this represent a significant contribution to the state's economy through taxes and purchasing power, but several have purchased homes in the Manhattan area as well.

Furthermore, Dr. Richt is actively involved in mitigating viruses through vaccine and therapy developments. Recent research focuses on vaccines for high-threat pathogens, including the Rift Valley Fever Virus, the African Swine Fever Virus, and the highly pathogenic Avian Influenza Virus. With funds from a Defense Threat Reduction Agency grant, CEEZAD led research on livestock safety with the new Vesicular Stomatitis Virus-Zaire Ebolavirus, a vaccine designed to protect humans against Ebola Zaire infection. In Rift Valley Fever research, Dr. Richt's team patented a recombinant subunit vaccine for virus protection in sheep and cattle and licensed it to Medgene Labs, a laboratory corporation focused on preventing animal diseases.

Dr. Richt's work on transboundary and zoonotic diseases, of interest to the National Bio and Agro-Defense Facility (NBAF), represents potential opportunities for education and further collaboration. As an example, Dr. Richt is a mentor for the National Scientific Training Program Fellows funded by the United States Department of Agriculture - Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service (USDA-APHIS), a workforce development program for NBAF. Under Dr. Richt's leadership, CEEZAD has hosted summer training programs for students interested in high-containment research and provided on-line content for disease outbreaks for responders at various levels of expertise.

Dr. Richt's work has enhanced the visibility and credibility of KSU on a national and international stage. He has spearheaded joint collaborations in animal disease research with multiple scientific partners around the world, including, most notably: Centro de Biología Molecular Severo Ochoa in Madrid, Spain; Icahn School of Medicine in Mount Sinai, New York; Avimex, headquartered in Queretaro, Mexico and in Mexico City, Mexico; Orion Integrated Biosciences, Inc. in New York; Jordan University of Science and Technology in Irbid, Jordan; University of Washington in Seattle; University of Victoria in British Columbia, Canada; and Iowa State University in Ames.

In addition to serving as a Regents Distinguished Professor, he was named a Kansas Eminent Scholar in Animal Health by the Kansas Bioscience Authority (KBA). Dr. Richt is also the recipient of the Iman Outstanding Faculty Award for

Research, a prestigious KSU award recognizing a faculty member who has distinguished him or herself in their chosen profession through research that is aimed at improving the betterment of the educational experience. He has also received the Tubitak Award, an honor bestowed by the Turkish government for outstanding teaching at the Veterinary School in Istanbul, Turkey. Dr. Richt has authored/co-authored 69 peer-reviewed articles and several book chapters; served on editorial boards for numerous journals; continues to pioneer scientific advancements through consultations, keynote addresses, and seminar presentations; and has received funding from diverse federal, non-profit, and private industry sources, including *United States Department of Agriculture*, National Institutes of Health, National Pork Board, MRI Global, and KBA, to name a few.

Dr. Richt's packet includes:

- a joint letter from KSU's President Richard Myers and Provost Charles Tabor;
- peer letters of evaluation from:
 - Heinz Geldmann, M.D., University of Manitoba
 - K. Gus Kousoulas, Ph.D., Louisiana State University
 - N. James MacLachlan, Distinguished Professor Emeritus, University of California, Davis
 - Barbara Sherry, Ph.D., North Carolina State University
 - Charles Wood, Ph.D., University of Nebraska, Lincoln
- Five-year Comprehensive Report
- Dr. Juergen Richt's current vita

Recommendation

Staff recommends Professor Juergen A. Richt continue as a Regents Distinguished Professor.

Performance Reports for Academic Year 2017

Summary and Recommendation: *In accordance with K.S.A. 74-3202d and the Board-approved Performance Agreement Guidelines and Procedures, the Academic Year 2017 Performance Reports are presented for review. Staff recommends approval of the attached performance reports.*

10-22-18

Background

Any new funding awarded is dependent upon the institution's compliance with its Board-approved performance agreement. Institutions submitted reports to Board staff on performance for Academic Year 2017; these reports will be the basis of awarding any new funds in July 2019. It is important to note that funds designated by the Legislature for a specific institution or purpose are exempt from these performance funding provisions.

Staff provided a preliminary review and shared any concerns with the institution who subsequently revised the reports and resubmitted. Consistent with the Board's performance funding guidelines, staff recommend the schools listed below receive 100% of any new funding for which they are eligible.

University/College	Funding Recommendation	Page
Kansas State University	100% funding	13
University of Kansas Medical Center	100% funding	16
Wichita State University	100% funding	19
Cloud County Community College	100% funding	22
Manhattan Area Technical College	100% funding	25
Salina Area Technical College	100% funding	28

Kansas State University Performance Report AY 2017						AY 2017 FTE: 20,845		
Contact Person: Brian Niehoff		Phone and email: 785-532-4797 niehoff@ksu.edu				Date: 7/19/2018		
Kansas State University	Foresight Goals	3 yr History	AY 2017 (Summer 2016, Fall 2016, Spring 2017)		AY 2018 (Summer 2017, Fall 2017, Spring 2018)		AY 2019 (Summer 2018, Fall 2018, Spring 2019)	
			Institutional Performance	Outcome	Institutional Performance	Outcome	Institutional Performance	Outcome
*1 Increase 1 st to 2 nd year Retention	1	Fall 12 Cohort = 81.2% (3,081/3,794) Fall 13 Cohort = 83.3% (3,128/3,755) Fall 14 Cohort = 83.4% (3,077/3,688) Baseline: 82.6% (9,286/11,237)	84.3% (2,975/3,531)	↑				
*2 Increase Number of Degrees and Certificates awarded	1	AY 2013 = 4,894 AY 2014 = 5,127 AY 2015 = 5,210 Baseline: 5,077	5,353	↑				
3 Increasing Rank for Total Research Expenditures	3	FY 2012 = \$154.9M, control rank = 71 FY 2013 = \$163.5M, control rank = 71 FY 2014 = \$169.9M, control rank = 70 Baseline: rank average = 70.7	67 \$178.3M	↑				
4 Increase Rank for Annual Giving	3	FY 2012 = \$66.9M, control rank = 61 FY 2013 = \$75.4M, control rank = 56 FY 2014 = \$108.1M, control rank = 37 Baseline: rank average = 51.3	53 \$98.1M	↓				
5 Increase number of students from underrepresented groups receiving degrees	1	AY 2013 = 460 AY 2014 = 514 AY 2015 = 527 Baseline: 500	576	↑				
*6 Increase percent of degrees and certificates awarded in STEM fields	2	AY 2013 = 38.6% (1,888/4,894) AY 2014 = 38.4% (1,967/5,127) AY 2015 = 39.6% (2,061/5,210) Baseline: 38.8% (5,916/15,231)	41.8% (2,237/5,353)	↑				

*Updated 7-18-18

Kansas State University Performance Report AY 2017

Indicator 1: Increase 1st to 2nd year retention rates

Description: This indicator is the percent of full-time first-time freshmen who return to K-State for their second year. The data are submitted to the Kansas Board of Regents and included in the annual Foresight 2020 report. This is one of K-State's key metrics for the K-State 2025 strategic plan.

Outcome/Results:

This indicator for 1st to 2nd year retention was 84.3%, an increase over the baseline. This is the second highest retention rate in K-State history. We continue to enhance our first-year seminar program and academic living communities, considered "best practices". We continue to improve our training of advisers. We implemented a data-driven system that shares information across departments and advisors to assist students more efficiently as they face academic and other challenges. We plan to expand the use of the system to address the needs of students across all majors and colleges.

Indicator 2: Increase number of degrees and certificates awarded

Description: This indicator is a count of the number of degrees and certificates awarded during the year. The data are submitted to the Kansas Board of Regents and included in the annual Foresight 2020 report.

Outcome/Results:

Data showed an increase in the number of degrees and certificates awarded in AY 2017 compared to the baseline average. We have been awarding record numbers of degrees over the past few years. With our recent decline in enrollment, we have been working with a consultant to develop strategies. We have plans underway to implement during the upcoming recruiting season.

Indicator 3: Increase Rank of K-State on total research expenditures

Description: This indicator is the rank for total research expenditures from extramural funds awarded to K-State, as reported to the NSF. This indicator is a key metric for the K-State 2025 strategic plan. The final rank used is from the Arizona State University Center for Measuring University Performance annual publication. We note that the ASU publication data lags by a few years, but we use the most recent data they publish.

Outcome/Results:

The most recent ASU publication showed K-State with \$178.3M in total research expenditures in FY 2014, which represented a rank of #67. This was an improvement over the baseline average rank of #70.7. Faculty success in obtaining grant funding is the main driver for increasing research expenditures. Improved processes in the Office of Research in providing assistance to seeking and writing grants have contributed to the improvement.

Indicator 4: Increase Rank of K-State on annual giving

Description: This indicator is the rank of our expendable (not endowed) contributions made to the university through the K-State Foundation. Endowed funds represent specific targeted accounts and the university can only spend a portion of the interest earned on the funds. On the other hand, expendable contributions are for immediate use, usually for purposes specified by the donor. This is a key metric in our K-State 2025 strategic plan. The data (dollars and rankings) are from the Arizona State University Center for Measuring University Performance annual publication. Once again, we note that the ASU publication of annual amounts and ranks lags by a few years, and we report the most recent year that they publish.

Outcome/Results:

The ASU publication showed K-State with annual giving of \$98.1M, for a rank of #53 in FY 2015. While the amount of annual giving exceeded the baseline average amount, the rank showed a slight decrease from the baseline rank of #51.3. One factor in the decline was that we were wrapping up our \$1B capital campaign in 2015, before committing to increasing our campaign goal to \$1.4B. We believe that our annual giving will increase in the coming years and our ranking will improve.

Indicator 5: Increase number of historically under-represented students receiving degrees

Description: This indicator is the count of graduate and undergraduate degrees awarded to students from historically underrepresented groups during the year. Diversity is a common element in our K-State 2025 strategic plan. Underrepresented groups include Blacks, Hispanics, Native Americans, Hawaiians/Pacific Islanders, and Multi-racial. Enhancing the success of our diverse student populations is critical for our success. Retention and graduation rates for students from underrepresented groups are often significantly lower than those rates for majority students.

Outcome/Results:

The data showed 576 degrees awarded to students from underrepresented groups, a significant increase over the baseline years, and continued a positive trend in this area. We use summer bridge programs for incoming multicultural freshmen to prepare them for the rigors of higher education, undergraduate research programs to place students under the mentorship of productive faculty, and enhanced multicultural programming and learning. We have hired a new Assistant Vice President for Multicultural Student Affairs, and a Chief Diversity and Inclusion Officer to take the lead on strategic initiatives to improve student success among students from diverse backgrounds.

Indicator 6: Increase percent of degrees and certificates awarded in STEM fields

Description: This indicator is calculated using the total number of degrees and certificates awarded in STEM fields divided by the total of degrees and certificates awarded over the academic year. Based on the Vision 2020 plan for the Kansas Board of Regents, STEM education is an important element that will drive the Kansas workforce needs in the future. Kansas State University has been participating in the University Engineering Initiative Act for five years. Enrollments in Engineering have increased steadily during that time and should to continue.

Outcome/Results:

The data showed STEM to be 41.8% of our degrees and certificates, an increase over the baseline average. The increased enrollments from the Engineering Initiative have contributed to the increase, but other STEM fields such as biology and some agriculture fields have also shown strong growth. STEM departments offer numerous undergraduate research opportunities, which attract students.

University of Kansas Medical Center Performance Report AY 2017							Fall 2017 FTE: 2,725	
Contact Person: Robert Klein		Phone and email: 913-588-1258 / rklein@kumc.edu					Date: 6/29/2018	
University of Kansas Medical Center	Foresight Goals	3 yr History	AY 2017 (Summer 2016, Fall 2016, Spring 2017)		AY 2018 (Summer 2017, Fall 2017, Spring 2018)		AY 2019 (Summer 2018, Fall 2018, Spring 2019)	
			Institutional Performance	Outcome	Institutional Performance	Outcome	Institutional Performance	Outcome
1. Increase Number of Certificates and Degrees Awarded	1	AY 2013: 657 AY 2014: 742 AY 2015: 694 Baseline: 698	738	↑				
2. Increase Percent of Certificates and Degrees Awarded in STEM Fields	2	AY 2013: 89.0% (585/657) AY 2014: 89.2% (662/742) AY 2015: 90.5% (628/694) Baseline: 89.6% (1,875/2,093)	90.2% (666/738)	↑				
3. Increase Number of Departments and Programs Achieving Selected National Rankings	3	CY 2013: 25 CY 2014: 28 CY 2015: 24 Baseline: 26	21	↓				
4. Increase Number of Medical School Graduates (MDs)	2	AY 2013: 160 AY 2014: 187 AY 2015: 189 Baseline: 179	198	↑				
5. Increase Percent of Practicing Physicians in Kansas trained at KUMC	2	CY 2012: 48.7% (3,304/6,786) CY 2013: 49.1% (3,269/6,652) CY 2014: 51.0% (3,152/6,134) Baseline: 49.6% (9,725/19,572)	51.7% (3,236/6,264)	↑				
6. Increase Commercialization and Entrepreneurship (e.g., license agreements & confidential disclosures)	2	FY 2013: 930 FY 2014: 1,199 FY 2015: 1,257 Baseline: 1,129	1,029	↓				
7. Increase Number of Students Participating in Interprofessional Education Opportunities	1	AY 2013: 1,779 AY 2014: 1,963 AY 2015: 2,970 Baseline: 2,237	3,175	↑				

University of Kansas Medical Center Performance Report AY 2017

Indicator 1: Number of Certificates and Degrees Awarded

Description:

- The indicator records the number of degrees and industry-recognized certificates awarded by the University of Kansas Medical Center (KUMC).
- Enrollment is influenced by the availability and support of clinical and experiential sites, paid and volunteer faculty, as well as physical space on campus. Programs make efforts to respond to the growing health care needs of the population as resources allow.

Outcome/Results: Over 50% of our degrees came from programs in which strong and innovative commitments have been made to alleviate health professional shortages. We had 198 students conferred with their medical degree (MD), 56 graduates from our Doctor of Physical Therapy program, and 159 undergraduate students who earned their Bachelor of Science in Nursing (BSN) degree. These are some of the highest totals historically for these programs.

Indicator 2: Percent of Certificates and Degrees Awarded in STEM Fields

Description:

- The indicator records the percent of degrees and industry-recognized certificates awarded by KUMC in science, technology, engineering, or mathematics (STEM) fields. STEM education is crucial for meeting the healthcare and technology needs of Kansas citizens and the regional population as a whole. Further, exceptionally prepared biomedical scientists are necessary to grow the pharmaceutical, bioscience, and clinical trial enterprises in Kansas.

Outcome/Results: One highlight is that we had our largest graduating class historically for students awarded the Doctor of Philosophy degree from our suite of biomedical scientist training programs. These new scientists are critical to support clinical trial, biotechnology, and pharmaceutical industries in Kansas.

Indicator 3: Number of Departments and Programs Achieving Selected National Rankings

Description:

- The indicator is the number of departments and academic programs nationally recognized based upon the following aspirational criteria: KU School of Medicine departments ranked in the top 25 of public U.S. medical schools receiving *National Institutes of Health* research funding; KU School of Nursing and School of Health Professions graduate programs within the top 25 of public institutions in the *U.S. News* Best Graduate Schools and Best Online Programs rankings; The University of Kansas Hospital and KUMC's clinical departments within the top 50 in the *U.S. News* Best Hospitals rankings.

Outcome/Results: With a total of 21 departments and programs receiving national rankings, we fell 5 short of our baseline goal during 2017. On a positive note, the University of Kansas Medical Center has increased their level of NIH funding over the last 3 years and still maintains 6 departments in the top 25 of public medical schools. Academically, the KU School of Nursing and Schools of Health Professions maintained their *U.S. News* Best Graduate School rankings. When setting the baseline, the University of Kansas Hospital experienced well-earned recognition with multiple years of 12 specialties receiving a top 50 *U.S. News* ranking nationally. Last year, we had 8 specialties ranked in the top 50 with a couple more in the high performing category. With the #1 ranked hospital in Kansas and the Kansas City metropolitan area, the University of Kansas Health System continues achieve excellence in patient outcomes and satisfaction.

Indicator 4: Number of Medical School Graduates (MDs)

Description:

- The indicator is the number of graduates from the MD program. The Medical Center strives to train health care providers to meet current and projected health care needs in Kansas, including demand for physicians in Kansas, particularly in rural and underserved areas.

Outcome/Results: The 198 medical school graduates matched the second highest academic year total for the KU School of Medicine. Nearly 40% of the graduates completed their undergraduate medical education training at the campuses in Wichita and Salina, and of those, over 50% were matched in residencies in primary care specialties in which to complete their training prior to entering practice.

Indicator 5: Percent of Practicing Physicians in Kansas Trained at KUMC

Description:

- This indicator reports the percentage of practicing physicians with a known practice location in Kansas who completed either undergraduate medical education (MD) or graduate medical education (residency) at KUMC. Studies indicate that the location of residency or fellowship training is a strong indicator of practice location. The KU School of Medicine educates over 800 medical residents and fellows per year.

Outcome/Results: This is the third straight year in which the indicator has been above 50%. Further, nearly 3 out of 5 physicians in this group who are currently working in underserved Kansas counties were trained at the Medical Center.

Indicator 6: Commercialization and Entrepreneurship (e.g., license agreements & confidential disclosures)

Description:

- The leading indicators of the university's knowledge-based entrepreneurial culture include the protection and licensing of KU faculty intellectual property. This indicator includes currently active confidential disclosure agreements, currently active inter-institutional agreements, currently active license agreements, new invention disclosures, and new material transfer agreements. An example of a material transfer agreement would entail the transfer of proprietary animal cells to a company for a fee each time cells are transferred for specific use. KU retains the ownership of the material being transferred. Through such licenses and agreements, the University's research discovery and innovation is brought to the public.

Outcome/Results: The overall indicator for Commercialization and Entrepreneurship is down because of a large drop in active confidential disclosure agreements. Active confidential disclosure agreements cover a variety of activities: research, service, commercialization, employment, consulting, committees, etc. and for a specified time period. In FY 2017, 200 more CDAs ended than started. While confidential disclosure agreements are not the most significant of the five metrics in showing progress toward increased commercialization and entrepreneurship, it is the one with largest magnitude. The other four metrics have shown increases or stayed consistent over the years we have been tracking them. KU is reorganizing efforts in the commercialization and entrepreneurship domain. The research leadership at both campuses is examining this very important area of research engagement and further analysis will determine the appropriate infrastructure required to support such activities. Since this indicator was a shared one with the main campus in Lawrence and they have been approved to modify their agreement without this indicator, KUMC will be requesting to KBOR to drop this indicator from our agreement in the upcoming months.

Indicator 7: Number of Students Participating in Interprofessional Education Opportunities

Description:

- This indicator reflects active student participation in interprofessional education (IPE) as measured by enrollment in coursework or educational programs with integrated IPE activities. At KUMC, academic and clinical studies are designed for students from different health disciplines to learn together using simulation technologies and clinical practice environments. Facilitating these efforts is our Center for Interprofessional Education and Simulation.

Outcome/Results: Approximately 3,175 student enrollments in IPE Opportunities were documented. One of the biggest programs is our local implementation of *TeamSTEPPS*TM, a program developed by the Department of Defense and the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality. It is required for all professional degree-seeking students at KUMC. This program ingrains students with the foundations of interprofessional collaboration and has 3 modules (learn, apply, demonstrate) which participants complete sequentially over multiple semesters. In 2017, we have now successfully implemented modules one, two, and three.

Wichita State University Performance Report AY 2017						AY 2017 FTE: 11,540		
Contact Person: Rick Muma		Phone and email: 316.978.5761 richard.muma@wichita.edu				Date: 7/20/2018		
Wichita State University	Foresight Goals	3 yr History	AY 2017 (Summer 2016, Fall 2016, Spring 2017)		AY 2018 (Summer 2017, Fall 2017, Spring 2018)		AY 2019 (Summer 2018, Fall 2018, Spring 2019)	
			Institutional Performance	Outcome	Institutional Performance	Outcome	Institutional Performance	Outcome
*1. Increase number of certificates and degrees awarded	1	AY2013: 2,999 AY2014: 3,036 AY2015: 2,975 Baseline: 3,003	3,050	↑				
*2. Increase the percent of STEM degrees conferred	2	AY2013: 33.0% (991/2,999) AY2014: 34.8% (1,057/3,036) AY2015: 38.5% (1,144/2,975) Baseline: 35.4% (3,192/9,010)	36.2% (1,104/3,050)	↑				
*3. Maintain National Science Foundation ranking in aeronautical engineering research and development expenditures from industry	3	AY2013: \$25,306,000/ranking: 1 AY2014: \$28,797,000/ranking: 1 AY 2015: \$29,146,000/ranking: 1 Baseline: \$27,750,000/ranking: 1	\$34,164,000/ Ranking: 1	↑				
4. Increase the number of undergraduate certificates and degrees awarded to underrepresented minorities	1	AY2013: 269 AY2014: 301 AY2015: 302 Baseline: 291	316	↑				
*5. Increase the second year retention rate of first- time/ full-time freshmen	1	Fall 12 Cohort: 74.5% (954/1,280) Fall 13 Cohort: 74.6% (909/1,218) Fall 14 Cohort: 72.0% (996/1,384) Baseline: 73.6% (2,859/3,882)	73.0% (1,036/1,420)	↓				
6. Increase the number of undergraduate Kansas resident degree seeking adult learner students ages 25-64	1	AY2013: 3,206 AY2014: 2,991 AY2015: 2,902 Baseline: 3,033	2,560	↓				

*Updated 7-20-18

Wichita State University Performance Report AY 2017

Indicator 1: Increase number of certificates and degrees awarded

Description: The Graduation Partnership (GP) is a campus-wide multi-pronged collaborative initiative (includes a student success course [first-year seminar], intrusive advising tools, supplemental instruction, tutoring services, and an early alert system [SEAS – Student Early Alert System]) aimed at increasing retention and graduation rates and increasing the number of degrees awarded.

Outcome/Results: The number of certificates and degrees are above the baseline. The initiatives of the GP have been in place for 7 years and the university is now in the process of reviewing what additionally is needed to assure continued success. For example, we have recently launched a “Think Thirty” initiative to encourage undergraduate students to complete 15 hours/semester or 30 hours each year, in order to graduate in four years. This initiative pairs nicely with the KBOR initiative to make all undergraduate degrees 120 hours. All but 11 degrees are currently at 120 hours. In terms of the “Think Thirty” initiative, for fall 2018 enrollment (among freshmen), we are seeing a 44% increase in students registering for 15 or more hours.

Indicator 2: Increase the percent of STEM degrees conferred

Description: Several initiatives are underway to increase the number of STEM discipline graduates. Funding from the State University Engineering Act has allowed the College of Engineering to hire additional faculty and support staff to allow increases in enrollment. Once students matriculate into engineering programs, the Engineering Student Success Center (ESSC) supports students towards their completion of an undergraduate degree. In partnership with engineering faculty and staff, the ESSC provides a personalized approach by offering a wide range of support services that help students achieve their academic and personal goals. Additionally, the ESSC has multiple programs targeted at encouraging the pipeline of K-12 students to enter engineering programs (e.g., summer camps, engineering educational development for students [SEEDS, Shocker MINDSTORMS, Kansas BEST Robotics], and Project Lead the Way). The Fairmount College Science and Math Education group in LAS oversee and operate initiatives to encourage enrollment in the natural sciences, the Kansas Science Olympiad, and the Kansas Junior Academy of Science.

Outcome/Results: The number of STEM degrees conferred continues to stay above baseline. In addition to the ongoing above activities, the College of Engineering opened a new state-of-the-art Engineering Student Success Center on the university’s innovation campus. This provides more space for programming, retention interventions, and closer proximity to the experiential engineering building.

Indicator 3: Maintain National Science Foundation ranking in aeronautical engineering research and development expenditures from industry

Description: WSU has been ranked in the top 10 among all universities for aeronautical engineering R&D expenditures derived from industry for the past three years (according to the National Science Foundation’s National Center for Science and Engineering Statistics). Our current and planned research initiatives focused in this area (industry supported research in engineering and the National Institute for Aviation Research – NIAR) are aimed at increasing industry-related research capacity and to maintain a top 10 ranking. The last year in which data were available [AY2016], WSU was ranked first according to National Science Foundation statistics with respect to aeronautical engineering industry supported research expenditures.

Outcome/Results: Research dollars continue to rise each year, and this is the expectation for the foreseeable future as the National Institute for Aviation Research expands its reach. For example, a new crash dynamics lab will be constructed on the innovation campus. The ranking is delayed by one year, due to the National Science Foundation reporting structure.

Indicator 4: Increase the number of undergraduate certificates and degrees awarded to under-represented minorities (URMs)

Description: Various initiatives are in place for this indicator to recruit, retain, and graduate more URMs including: 1) Providing special outreach to under-represented minority groups such as AVID, TRIO, GEAR UP and other pre-college access organizations, 2) hosting recruitment events, group visits and attending cultural, community and college fairs designated for under-represented minority groups, 3) Providing Admissions Office personnel to offer bilingual services and oversee

recruitment of ethnic minorities, with an emphasis on under-represented minorities, 4) Deploying Admissions Office recruitment representatives to schools in highly diverse Kansas communities such as Wichita, Liberal, Garden City, Dodge City, and Kansas City, 5) collaborations amongst university departments to recruit and retain minority students through outreach and activities 6) Services provided by the Office of Diversity and Inclusion ranging from academic to cultural to social to outreach, all geared toward cultivating and sustaining an inclusive campus that strives for academic success, 7) Providing full-ride, 4 year scholarships to those who achieve national Hispanic Recognition Scholar, 8) Executing a recruitment and retention scholarship program for incoming freshmen who are mostly ethnic minorities and/or first generation students, and 9) Offering transition programs for first generation students. Additionally, a retention scholarship in the amount of \$500 is provided to underserved freshmen after their first semester if they reenroll in 12 hours the following semester and have a GPA of 2.5. The scholarship is renewable as long as minimum criteria are met.

Outcome/Results: Wichita State University continues to make steady progress on increasing undergraduate certificates and degrees awarded to underrepresented minorities. The above referenced activities are continuing, except we have changed the retention scholarship to include those students who attend a “Passage 2 Success” program in August just prior to the start of the fall semester. Passage 2 Success is a four-day retreat for incoming freshmen from diverse backgrounds to help in their transition to Wichita State University. The purpose of this retreat is to connect with other incoming students, meet current WSU student leaders, explore Wichita and gain the skills and tools to be socially and academically successful. As part of the program, a targeted competitive scholarship and mentoring program was added in 2017-18 through a collaborative initiative between the Office of Financial Aid and the Office of Diversity and Inclusion. A cohort of first generation students with demonstrated financial need are selected annually and awarded up to \$5,000 in renewable scholarships to meet the gap for tuition, fees and books.

Indicator 5: Increase Second Year Retention Rate of First-Time/Full-Time Freshmen

Description: Three main initiatives are the focus of this indicator and include: 1) The Graduation Partnership (GP), a campus-wide multi-pronged collaborative initiative (includes a student success course [first-year seminar], intrusive advising tools, supplemental instruction, tutoring services, and an early alert system [SEAS – Student Early Alert System]) aimed at increasing retention and graduation rates 10 percent by 2020. The University has a strategic enrollment plan, which also includes enhancing and developing our retention efforts.

Outcome/Results: Wichita State University continues to make progress in first-time/full-time freshmen retention. Although our percentage decreased slightly, this was due to the fact that we had a larger pool of students start as freshmen. We retained 83 more students, compare to the baseline. Our retention rates for underserved students, a subset of the first-time/full-time freshmen, increased by 8.6 percentage points (2015 cohort 66.9%; 2016 cohort 75.5%), something that has not happened in more than 10 years.

Indicator 6: Increase the number of undergraduate Kansas degree seeking adult learner students ages 25-64

Description: Our main degree completion program, called WSU complete, provides flexible programs (full-time or part-time) that start on 8-week cycles and is offered during the evening and weekends at WSU’s west Wichita campus. Eligible students include those who are returning to college or transferring from another institution after a gap in their education. \$2,500 scholarships (from the Osher Reentry Scholarship Program [part-time students can receive \$1,500]) will be awarded to help undergraduate students who have experienced a five-year cumulative gap in their education re-enroll. Targeted marketing efforts for adult learners will also be implemented. This initiative supports our goal to provide flexible opportunities for adult learners to obtain a college degree.

Outcome/Results: This indicator continues to be a challenge. To help with this, and since many of these students are transfer students, we have developed (as an alpha partner with the Education Advisory Board - EAB) a transfer portal (<http://wichita.edu/transfer2WSU>) that allows us to engage with students much earlier in the transfer process. This tool allows potential transfer students to answer the three most pressing questions for them as they contemplate enrolling at WSU: 1) What courses will transfer? 2) How long will it take to complete a WSU degree, and 3) How much will it cost? Additionally, we are phasing out WSU complete and putting more emphasis on online programs. Our new affiliation with WSU Tech allows pathways to degree completion through Shocker Pathway and our proposed Bachelor of Applied Sciences degree, currently being considered by the Kansas Board of Regents.

Cloud County Community College Performance Report AY 2017						AY 2017 FTE: 1,321		
Contact Person: Nancy Zenger-Beneda			Phone and email: (785) 243-1435, ext 249; nzbeneda@cloud.edu			Date: 7/12/2018		
Cloud County Community College	Foresight Goals	3 yr History	AY 2017 (Summer 2016, Fall 2016, Spring 2017)		AY 2018 (Summer 2017, Fall 2017, Spring 2018)		AY 2019 (Summer 2018, Fall 2018, Spring 2019)	
			Institutional Performance	Outcome	Institutional Performance	Outcome	Institutional Performance	Outcome
*1 Increase first to second year retention rates of "college ready" cohort.	1	2012: 78/140 =55.7% 2013: 82/164 =50.0% 2014: 110/191 =57.6% Baseline: 270/495 = 54.5%	68.4% (106/155)	↑				
2 Increase number of certificates and degrees awarded.	1	AY12-13: 302 AY13-14: 936 AY14-15: 596 Baseline: 611	614	↑				
3 Increase number of 3 rd party credentials attained (CNA, CMA, CDL, NCLEX).	2	AY12-13:357 AY13-14: 324 AY14-15: 406 Baseline: 362	295	↓				
4 Increase first to second year retention rates of "non-college ready" cohort.	1	F12 to F13: 66/153 = 43.1% F13 to F14: 61/148 = 41.2% F14 to F15: 89/191 = 46.6% Baseline: 216/492 = 43.9%	59.8%	↑				
5 Increase the number of students passing gateway courses (CM 101, MA 111) on the first attempt.	2	AY12-13: 657/1,552=42.3% AY13-14:574/1,383=41.5% AY14-15: 551/1,335=41.3% Baseline: 1,782/4,270= 41.7%	77.4%	↑				
6 Increase the number of successful completers in allied health and nursing CEU courses.	1	12-13: 225 13-14: 206 14-15: 248 Baseline: 226	239	↑				

*Update 7/12/18

Cloud County Community College Performance Report AY 2017

Indicator 1: Increase first to second year retention rates of "college ready" cohort.

Description: CCCC will be able to strategically focus retention efforts of first-time, full-time degree seeking students by separating the “college ready” from the “non-college ready” students. “College ready” students are defined as those students who were not enrolled in any developmental courses in their initial term. Retention rates will be measured by identifying the number of college ready students who are retained from fall semester to fall semester.

Outcome/Results:

The College reports retaining 106/155, 68.4% of the “college ready” cohort from the first year to the second year which is an increase over the baseline of 54.5%. The College has increased early interventions with students based on instructor notification of at risk behaviors. CCCC employs two retention specialists. One at each campus. The college has an online reporting tool for faculty to alert the retention specialist immediately when concerns are recognized. Retention specialists instruct a Personal Assessment class for students that have been placed on probation which supports performance tracking and success coaching.

Indicator 2: Increase number of certificates and degrees awarded.

Description: Students have a wide range of educational goals including earning certificates and degrees. To facilitate degree attainment, CCCC offers a range of learning opportunities including concurrent, online, web conferencing, hybrid, community outreach and on-campus classes. CCCC is focused on increasing the number of students earning certificates and degrees which supports Kansas Foresight 2020.

Outcome/Results:

The college reports 614 certificates and degrees earned which is over the baseline of 611. Though the indicator does show improvement, it may not be reflective of the true improvement as enrollment has decreased. Increasing the number of degrees and certificates with a smaller number of students suggests there is success in this area. The College has implemented an automatic degree audit which helps advisors, students, and the Registrar identify courses students need for completion of a certificate or degree. Academic advisors (faculty members) have increased their efforts to explain the pathways created by stackable credentials which build to a degree. More students are understanding the benefits of this approach and are pursuing additional certificates. The degree audit provides clear information for students on the pathway to completion. The audit also provides information to the Registrar to review reverse transfer opportunities for students who may have transferred to a 4-year program to transfer credits back to CCCC for degree completion.

Indicator 3: Increase number of third party credentials attained.

Description: With an increased focus on workforce development, preparing students for high-need industries, and assuring quality of learned skills, CCCC will continue to use industry recognized credentials to help identify preparedness of students and place qualified students into the workforce. Attaining a professional credential will provide a competitive advantage for individuals entering the workforce. Through direct observation and access to licensing data, CCCC will measure the number of credentials successfully earned by CNA and CMA students, students receiving a CDL license, and those who pass NCLEX exams.

Outcome/Results:

The reported amount of 295 credentials attained includes 246 CNA, 6 CMA, 29 NCLEX, 7 CDL and 7 EMT which is below the baseline of 362. The college has not been able to find an adequate number of qualified instructors to maintain course offerings. The Department of Aging has very specific credentialing requirements for instructors for CNA, CMA, and Home Health Aid which includes nursing experience in a long-term care facility. This requirement significantly reduces the pool of instructors in the area qualified to teach. Another challenge the college faced was losing our staff member that taught the CDL course and maintained the agreement for truck use. The college has explored a number of options to reestablish this program will continue to pursue a viable option to support offering the CDL credential to students. Finding qualified instructors is a current focus for the Outreach office as the college believes this area should be a continued area of growth to meet regional needs. The inability to locate instructors has decreased our class offerings which has then decreased the number of students able to complete credentials.

Indicator 4: Increase first to second year retention rates of "non-college ready" cohort.

Description: CCCC will be able strategically focus retention efforts for first-time, full-time degree seeking students by separating the “college ready” from the “non-college ready” students. “Non-college ready” students are those who have enrolled in at least one developmental course during their initial term of enrollment. In order to better address the needs of these students and provide student support services, CCCC will track retention rates of “non-college ready.” Using CCCC’s Jenzabar Management Information System, students who take at least one developmental course will be identified and tracked to measure retention rates from their initial fall enrollment term to the following fall term.

Outcome/Results:

The college reports retaining 98/164 of the “non-college ready” cohort from the first year to the second year. The English Department has implemented a Composition Workshop combined with English Composition. This change in the developmental English sequence allows students who tested just below English Composition I to enroll directly into the college course and the workshop concurrently. The workshop provides supplemental instruction to help students perform at the level expected in the college level course. The program has proved to be very successful as we have seen more students progress to the college level course and an increase in the students passing the gateway course of English Composition I. We believe success in this gateway course contributes to the overall retention of our “non-college ready” cohort. In addition, faculty use an online alert system to contact the retention specialist when they have concerns about students. The retention specialist provides support and coaching to help students work toward meeting their goals.

Indicator 5: Increase the success rate of students passing gateway courses (CM 101, MA 111) on the first attempt.

Description: The two gateway courses of CM 101 English Composition I and MA 111 College Algebra are crucial in determining a student’s perseverance to degree completion. CCCC will work to increase the number of students who successfully complete either or both gateway courses on their first attempt. Successful completion will be defined as achieving a letter grade of “A,” “B,” or “C.” A review of institutional course data will indicate first attempt pass rates. CCCC will report the aggregate success rate while disaggregating the data for the purpose of instructional improvement and learning support systems enhancement.

Outcome/Results:

The college reports the number of students passing gateway courses for AY17 as 570/736, 77.4%, which is 35.7% percentage points over the baseline of 41.7%; however, with changes in personnel, the calculation method used to establish the baseline and results reported could not be replicated. Using the calculation method to figure the baseline that was used to figure the AY17 number reported reveals the following: AY12-13 442/767=57.6%, AY13-14 444/705=63%, and AY14-15 447/686=65.2% which would establish a baseline of 1333/2158=61.8%. Referencing the new baseline, the college still shows improvement of 15.6% which more realistically represents the improvement in this area. The college attributes success to realigning outcomes from Intermediate Algebra to College Algebra and the addition of the Composition Workshop to the English Composition courses. Both departments show an increase in annual pass rates of gateway courses.

Indicator 6: Increase the number of completers in online allied health and nursing CEU courses.

Description: CCCC wants to provide effective continuing education opportunities, both face-to-face and online, for people needing to maintain licensure that are place bound and/or balancing family and work obligations that prevent them from traveling. CCCC will track the successful completion of its Allied Health CEU courses through a review of institutional course data. Successful completion is achieved when the student receives a letter grade of “A,” “B,” “C;” or “P” for “pass.”

Outcome/Results:

The college reports in Allied Health and CEU courses as 239 which is above the baseline of 226. The college has seen a steady increase annually of enrollment in these courses. The expansion of offerings to include online has made the courses available to a larger population.

Manhattan Area Technical College Performance Report AY 2017						AY 2017 FTE: 534		
Contact: Rachel Sherley		Phone and email: 785-320-4557--Rachelsherley@ManhattanTech.edu				Date:8/15/2018		
Manhattan Area Technical College	Foresight Goals	3 year History	AY 2017 (Summer 2016, Fall 2016, Spring 2017)		AY 2018 (Summer 2017, Fall 2017, Spring 2018)		AY 2019 (Summer 2018, Fall 2018, Spring 2019)	
			Institutional Performance	Outcome	Institutional Performance	Outcome	Institutional Performance	Outcome
1 Increase the number of certificates and degrees awarded	1.1	AY 2013 = 400 AY 2014 = 365 AY 2015 = 396 Baseline = 387	431	↑				
*2 Upon completion of their programs, increase the percent of students employed or transferred	2.2	AY 2012: 258/404 = 63.9% AY 2013: 261/399 = 65.4% AY 2014: 268/359 = 74.7% Baseline: 787/1,162 = 67.7%	70.5% (285/404)	↑				
3 Upon completion of their programs, increase the number of industry credentials earned by students	2.5	AY 2013 = 302 AY 2014 = 341 AY 2015 = 405 Baseline = 349	383	↑				
4 Of the students testing into remedial work (ACCUPLACER Elementary Algebra < 47 or Arithmetic < 71; Sentence Skills < 69), increase percent retained to the next academic year	1.2	AY 2014: 75.5% (213/282) AY 2015: 76.1% (175/230) AY 2016: 60.8% (113/186) Baseline = 71.8% (501/698)	64% (41/64)	↓				
5 Increase students' core workplace skills, as measured using standardized rubrics, in the technical component of their programs	2.1	AY Data: 2014: Avg. Score=74.9% (N=643) 2015: Avg. Score=78.1% (N=707) 2016: Avg. Score=78.7% (N=668) Baseline = 77.3%	Avg. Score = 78.8% (N=432)	↑				
6 Increase the percent of students who complete their certificate or degree within two years or are retained at MATC	1.1	AY Year: Completion + Retention = Total 2010: 47% + 15% = 62% 2011: 49% + 15% = 64% 2012: 56% + 9% = 65% Baseline = 51% + 13% = 64%	18.5% + 41% = 59.5%	↓				

*updated 7/10/18

Manhattan Area Technical College Performance Report AY 2017

Indicator 1: Increase the number of certificates and degrees awarded.

Description: In order to increase completion rates, MATC has implemented a variety of initiatives that should result in more AAS Degrees, Technical Certificates, and Certificates of Completion being awarded. First, as will be expanded on under Indicator 4, modifications were made to the Workplace Writing (COM-100) and Workplace Math (MAT-099) courses, which should result in higher pass rates in English and Math courses that fulfill the general education requirements. Second, we have a computer program (Accudemia) that serves as an early alert system for at-risk students. It provides a platform for referrals by Faculty and Student Services staff and notifies the Director of the Learning Resource Center and the student's advisor resulting in proactive responses that facilitate early intervention. This indicator is in line with Foresight 2020 Goal 1.1.

Outcome/Results: MATC awarded 431 certificates and degreed in AY 2017, an increase of 10.21% over the established baseline.

Indicator 2: Upon completion of their programs, increase percent students employed or transferred.

Description: This indicator is tied to Indicators #1 above and #3 below. Without retention through successful completion of the program (Indicator 1) and successful acquisition of an industry credential (Indicator 3), increasing the numbers of students employed after leaving MATC would be impossible. Thus, retention is the key to success on all three indicators. That being said, MATC is taking additional steps to facilitate employment after graduation. First, several programs have mechanisms (Occupational Work Experience (OWE), clinical, internships, etc.) in place to ensure their students have opportunities to meet and talk to individuals in program-related businesses. Many students are hired by the companies at which they have completed OWE and/or internships. In terms of students continuing their education at another institution new articulation agreements were developed at the college level, as well as the statewide agreements facilitated by KBOR. This more seamless approach to transfer through articulation agreements results in more students moving on to complete bachelor degrees and beyond at other institutions. Given all of the initiatives related to facilitating contact between students and potential employers, and the steps taken for a seamless transition to other postsecondary institutions, the numbers of students employed and/or continuing their education will continue to increase. This indicator is in line with Foresight 2020 Goal 2.2.

Outcome/Results: In AY2017, 285 of 404 completers were employed or transferred to another institution. This is an increase of 2.8% over the baseline.

Indicator 3: Upon completion of their programs, increase the number of industry credentials earned by students.

Description: Possession of an industry credential or credentials greatly enhances the likelihood that graduates will be hired for a job related to their program of study. Currently, 13 of 16 programs (certificate only, certificate or degree, and Stand Alone Parent Programs) provide students with opportunities to earn one or more industry credentials. We are currently exploring the availability of ISO-17024 certifications for the remaining three programs. Successful retention based on the initiatives being implemented under Indicator 1 should result not only in increased numbers of certificates and degrees, but also increased numbers of industry credentials. This indicator is in line with Foresight 2020 Goal 2.5.

Outcome/Results: AY2016 resulted in an 8.88% increase over the baseline. While the actual number of students is lower than compared to AY2015, which could be due to the updates incorporated by the institution to move away from a manual data process that was necessary at the time, to one that has devoted resources to the utilization of its database resulting in more efficient results. Overall this is a growth from 2 years prior.

Indicator 4: Of the students testing into remedial work (ACCUPLACER Elementary Algebra < 47 or Arithmetic < 71; Sentence Skills < 69), increase the percent who are retained to the next academic year.

Description: One of the main obstacles for students to finish their Certificate or AAS Degree is the completion of the general education requirements, including English and/or Math. MATC uses ACCUPLACER exams to evaluate incoming students in reading, writing, and math courses for the purpose of placement. Students who have ACCUPLACER Sentence Skills scores < 69 must take Workplace Writing (COM-100) and students who have a ACCUPLACER Elementary Algebra < 47 or Arithmetic < 71 must take either Workplace Math (MAT-099—2 credit hours) or Technical Mathematics I with Review (MAT-102—5 credit hours). Students

must pass COM-100 with a “C” or better to be eligible to take an English course that fulfills the general education requirement (i.e., English Composition (COM-105) or Technical Writing (COM-110)). Students must pass MAT-099 with a “C” or better to be eligible to take Technical Mathematics I (MAT-101), the course that fulfills the certificate option. Students who pass MAT-102 with a “C” or better will meet the general education math requirement for a certificate. This indicator is in line with Foresight 2020 Goal 1.2 in that it will serve to increase retention rates at MATC.

Outcome/Results: Due to the changes in remedial education and the guidelines presented by KBOR to incorporate a more discretionary placement approach, both aforementioned changes were piloted in AY2016, due to these changes in approach the overall number of students testing into remedial courses has declined from 186 students in AY2016 to 64 students in AY2017. While the retention of the AY2017 students is 3.2% higher than the prior year, it is still below the established baseline. The focus in AY2017 was on remedial and technical math providing a recitation and a review component to allow additional resources to improve completion. The goal is to improve retention of these students with the additional resources being implemented, so they can proceed in completion of their chosen program of study.

Indicator 5: Increase students' core workplace skills, as measured using standardized rubrics, in the technical component of their programs.

Description: Underlying job-specific technical knowledge, skills, and abilities are core workplace skills that are relevant to any job in any setting. These core skills include oral and written communication, critical thinking, problem solving, quantitative literacy, ethical reasoning, and so on. Core skills are regularly used in practice resulting in the development of a series of rubrics that serve as guides to assessment. Each rubric consists of 20 criteria; 5 of which are broad enough to be used in any discipline, while the remaining 15 provided higher degrees of specificity and applicability in particular disciplines. Members of the Assessment Committee work with individual faculty to show how these rubrics can be used to assess something they are already doing as part of the technical training. This indicator is in line with Foresight 2020 Goal 2.1.

Outcome/Results: AY2017 showed a decrease in the number of students who were administered the rubrics from 668 in AY2016 to 432, however the assessment score of those 432 exceeded the baseline by 1.5%. The decline in students assessed on their core workplace skills is due to numerous factors. Initially, effective AY2016 the core abilities dropped off Foresight 2020 and was placed onto the performance report at that time, therefore MATC was not given a directive, as we had in years prior, from KBOR on which core abilities we needed to assess for AY 17. Secondly, the college community was preparing for the mid-cycle review visit from the Higher Learning Commission, which consumed college's focus due to a newer administration coming in and limited assessment rubrics for AY17. Furthermore, with the next reporting period for the performance report our percentage and number of students will be significantly lower due to our directive from HLC to reexamination our assessment procedures and implemented a pilot in AY2018.

Indicator 6: Increase the percent of students who complete their certificate or degree within two years or are retained at MATC.

Description: Since 2010, upon receiving full accreditation from the HLC, MATC has actively pursued strategic growth initiatives that include increasing the capacity of some existing programs, initiating new programs, and expansion of general education course offerings. The pattern of strategic growth continues so we expect to see continued gains in the areas of completion and retention. This indicator is in line with Foresight 2020 Goal 1.1.

Outcome/Results: The data submitted for past cohorts were of students who started in program courses specific to programs of study, and not based off when the student entered MATC. Changes in administration and staff has resulted in focus being towards utilizing our database (Jenzabar) more so than in past years, which resulted in the addition of cohorts based off when students first enter our institution. This is a necessity in order to report IPEDS and other KBOR reports more accurately. The percentages are different than in years past because of the change in less manual calculating for reporting and pulling data directly from Jenzabar. As an example, students will enter Manhattan Tech initially to complete their general education requirements prior to being accepted into a program of study, such as Practical Nursing, because we are counting them in the cohort they entered our institution it shows they have not completed that specific program within 2 years, when actually they did if you look at the date they started the program, rather than the date they entered the institution. As such, this is why the completion rate is lower and the retention rate is higher.

Salina Area Technical College Performance Report AY 2017						AY 2017 FTE: 367		
Contact Person: Denise Hoeffner			Phone and email: 785-309-3110, denise.hoeffner@salintech.edu			Date: 8/14/2018		
Salina Area Technical College	Foresight Goals	3 yr History	AY 2017 (Summer 2016, Fall 2016, Spring 2017)		AY 2018 (Summer 2017, Fall 2017, Spring 2018)		AY 2019 (Summer 2018, Fall 2018, Spring 2019)	
			Institutional Performance	Outcome	Institutional Performance	Outcome	Institutional Performance	Outcome
*1 Increase the three-year graduation rates of college ready cohort.	1	2013: 61% (83/136) 2014: 61.5% (91/148) 2015: 65.1% (84/129) Baseline: 62.5% (258/413)	74% (304/410)	↑				
2 Increase percent of students employed or transferred in Kansas one calendar year after graduation.	2	2012: 74.3% (410/552) 2013: 77.3% (418/541) 2014: 82.0% (346/422) Baseline: 77.5% (1,174/1,515)	82.6% (319/386)	↑				
3 Increase the wages of students hired.	2	2013: \$27,516 2014: \$19,930 2015: \$21,912 Baseline: \$23,119	\$26,168	↑				
4 Increase the number of college-level credit hours completed by concurrently-enrolled students.	1	2013: 1,247 2014: 1,851 2015: 2,310 Baseline: 1,803	3,688	↑				
5 Increase the number of students completing programs in high demand occupations in Kansas	2	2013: 64 2014: 73 2015: 67 Baseline: 68	78	↑				
6 Increase the percentage of degree/certificate-seeking, non-college-ready students who complete their program and/or are retained for the next academic year	1	2013: 85.9% (49/57) 2014: 74.5% (35/47) 2015: 67.8% (82/121) Baseline: 73.8% (166/225)	84.9% (62/73)	↑				

Salina Area Technical College Performance Report AY 2017

Indicator 1: Increase the three-year graduation rates of college ready cohort.

Description: The mission of Salina Area Technical College is to meet employment needs by providing a diverse community of learners. Our goal is to not only obtain more students but to retain them once they've enrolled. We have implemented an Early Alert system as well as continue to communicate the importance, and advantage, of degree completion to students. All students meet formally with their advisor at least once per semester and informally, many times. Salina Tech has an Outreach Coordinator to assist students with barriers to college entrance. For this indicator, three years of historical data was taken from the IPEDS Grad Rates Within 150% Survey and is the following: 2013 (Fall 2009 Adjusted Cohort): 61%, 2014 (Fall 2010 Adjusted Cohort): 61.5%, and 2015 (Fall 2011 Adjusted Cohort): 65.1%. The baseline, calculated based on that three-year average, is 62.5%.

Outcome/Results:

Our three-year (a.k.a. 150%) graduation rate, which we reported to IPEDS during AY 2018 (based on our 2014 adjusted cohort of students), was 74% (304/410). Our baseline three-year (a.k.a. 150%) graduation rate was 62.5%. Therefore, we met our goal of increasing the graduation rate of our college-ready cohort.

Indicator 2: Increase percent of students employed or transferred in Kansas one calendar year after graduation.

Description: Every program at SATC has its own industry based advisory board that guides the program instructors as to the best employment skills for the program graduates to have upon graduation. In addition, student services follows up with SATC's graduates' employers by conducting a satisfaction survey. This survey, in addition to the valued opinions of the advisory boards, gives college faculty and instructional staff the information that they need to ensure that students are learning the skills they require to find and keep employment in Kansas. SATC will also work with the Chamber of Commerce to develop and promote mini job fairs at the College in early spring. This indicator coincides with Salina Tech's strategic plan on several levels by matching the goals of improving visibility and perception, by enrollment growth, and most importantly, by providing quality instruction that meets community needs. For this indicator, three years of historical data was taken from KBOR and KDOL. Specifically, we used data from 2012, 2013, and 2014. This data has helped us to set the baseline of 77.5% for the number of students from SATC who are employed or transferred in Kansas one calendar year after graduation.

Outcome/Results:

The data for AY 2017, which were provided by KBOR, showed that 82.6% (319/386) of our students were employed in Kansas one calendar year after graduation. Our baseline was 77.5%, so the outcome/results were positive.

Indicator 3: Increase the wages of students hired.

Description: Many Salina Area Technical College graduates have the potential to earn a higher starting wage after completing only one or two years of training than the average 4-year graduate. SATC continues to recruit and encourage students to enter high wage, high demand occupations such as Commercial Truck Driving, Heating Ventilation and Air Conditioning, Computer Aided Drafting, Emergency Medical Technicians and Electricians. Students graduating from these programs can expect to earn a higher than average starting salary right after graduation. As these are high demand occupations as well, there are many employment opportunities throughout Kansas. The wages of students hired are as follows: 2013: \$27,516, 2014: \$19,930, and 2015: \$21,912. These data were provided by the Kansas Department of Labor and were included in the KBOR K-TIP Report. These data have helped us to set the baseline of \$23,119 for the wages of students hired.

Outcome/Results:

The AY2016 K-TIP report shows, on page 35, that the institutional grand total for SATC, under the "Median Wage: Graduates Exited and Employed" column, was \$26,168. Our baseline median wage was \$23,119. Therefore, we met our goal of increasing the wages of students hired.

Indicator 4: Increase the number of college-level credit hours completed by concurrently-enrolled students.

Description: Salina Area Technical College places significant emphasis on overall enrollment as part of our strategic plan. The college has placed significant time and effort in partnering with local and area high schools in order to expose students to career and technical education. New partnerships and agreements are being

developed and implemented. For this indicator, three years of historical data was taken from KHEDS AY files and is the following: 2013: 1,247, 2014: 1,851, and 2015: 2,310. These data represent college-level credit hours successfully completed (with a grade of P, C, B, or A) by concurrently-enrolled students. The data from these three years have provided us with the information needed to provide a baseline of 1,803 for the number of college-level credits completed by high school students.

Outcome/Results:

Based on our KBOR AY 2017 Registrations and Enrolled Flags files, our unduplicated head count of high school students who completed college-level credits during AY 2017 was 371. Those 371 students completed 3,688 college-level credit hours during AY 2017. Our baseline was 1,803 credit hours. Therefore, we met our goal of increasing the number of college-level credit hours completed by concurrently-enrolled students.

Indicator 5: Increase the number of students completing programs in high demand occupations in Kansas.

Description: The mission of Salina Area Technical College is to meet employment needs of the region. Every program at SATC has its own industry based advisory board that guides the program instructors as to the best skills to have for employment. In addition, student services follows up with SATC's graduates' employers by conducting a satisfaction survey. This survey, in addition to the advisory boards, gives SATC the information needed to ensure that students are learning the skills they need to find and keep employment in Kansas. SATC has collaborated with the Chamber of Commerce to hold mock interviews at the College in early spring. Additionally, SATC has formed partnerships with business and industry for customized, individualized trainings. The high demand programs are: CDL, HVAC, Medical, Dental, CAD, EMT, and Electricians. The number of students completing programs in high demand occupations in Kansas are as follows: 2013: 64, 2014: 73, and 2015: 67. These data were pulled from our KHEDS Completions file for each academic year. This data has helped us to set the baseline of 68 for the number of students completing programs in high demand occupations in Kansas.

Outcome/Results:

Our KBOR AY 2017 Completions file shows we had 78 (unduplicated head count) students who completed programs in high-demand occupations in Kansas (Commercial Truck Driving, HVAC, Medical Assistant, Dental Assistant, CAD, EMT, and Electrical Technology). Our baseline was 68 graduates/year. Therefore, we met our goal of increasing the number of students completing programs in high-demand occupations in Kansas.

Indicator 6: Increase the percentage of degree/certificate-seeking, non-college-ready students who complete their program and/or are retained for the next academic year.

Description: We identified our non-college-ready group based upon math placement scores. We used placement scores that would place students into either Tech Math with Review or below. For AY13 – AY15, we were using COMPASS scores and these scores placed the students into the non-college-ready category: COMPASS Pre-Algebra score 0 – 43. Beginning with AY 2017, we will also be using Accuplacer and/or ACT scores as well as COMPASS scores to identify our non-college-ready group of students. Our goal is to increase the percentage of degree/certificate-seeking, non-college-ready students who complete their program and/or are retained for the next academic year.

Outcome/Results:

We ran a report from our SIS showing all students' math placement scores. From there, we identified anyone with a low math placement score as "non-college-ready". Specifically, students were identified as "non-college-ready" based on having a Compass Pre-Algebra score below 44, an ACT Enhanced Math score below 17, or an Accuplacer Classic Arithmetic score below 78. Then, we compared these non-college-ready students to our AY 2016 Declared Majors file to identify the number of non-college-ready students who were enrolled at SATC in AY 2016. We excluded non-degree/non-certificate seeking students (whose Declared Majors would have been submitted as "NONE"). We found that 73 non-college-ready students were enrolled as degree/certificate-seeking students at SATC during AY 2016. We then compared those 73 students to both our AY 2016 Completions file and to our AY 2017 Declared Majors file. We found that 62 of the 73 students (84.9%) completed their program in AY 2016 and/or were retained for the next academic year (AY 2017). Our baseline was 73.8%, so we met our goal of increasing the percentage of degree/certificate-seeking, non-college-ready students who completed their program and/or were retained for the next academic year.